1 2			ay fall
3			ble Gary Spraker es Bankruptcy Judge
4	Entered on Docket		TOTAL TOP MEND
5	June 05, 2019		
6 7 8 9	LOUIS M. BUBALA III, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 8974 KAEMPFER CROWELL 50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 700 Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: 775.852.3900 Facsimile: 775.327.2011 Email: lbubala@kcnvlaw.com		Electronically Lodged June 5, 2019
10			
11	Phillip K. Wang (SBN 186712) RIMON, P.C.		
12	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94111		
13	Telephone: (415) 968-2002 Facsimile: (415) 968-2002		
14	Email: phillip.wang@rimonlaw.com		
15	Attorneys for Defendant SHERIFF OF COUNTY OF SANTA	CLARA	
16			
17			
18	UNITED S	STATES BAN	KRUPTCY COURT
19	Ι	DISTRICT OF	FNEVADA
20			
21	In Re:		
22	ANTHONY THOMAS and WENDI THOMAS,	(	Case No. BK-N-14-50333-gs (LEAD)
23	AT EMERALD, LLC,		Case No. BK-N-14-50331-gs
24	Debtors.	(	Jointly Administered)
25			Chapter 7
26		'	
27			
28			
_0			Adv. Proc. No. 17-05005-gs
	Order Re M	OTION TO SET A	SIDE DEFAULT

1 JERI COPPA-KNUDSON, 2 Plaintiff, 3 VS. CLARIFICATION 4 KENNETH CONETTO. ESTATE OF ERIC KITCHEN, KIMBERLY KLOTZ, WAYNE 5 CATLETT and SHERIFF OF SANTA Hearing Date: CLARA COUNTY, Hearing Time: 6 Judge: Defendants. 7 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 cause appearing, 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Adv. Proc. No. 17-05005-gs

## ORDER RE MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT OR FOR

May 13, 2019 2:00 p.m.

Hon. Gary Spraker

On March 18, 2019, defendant Sheriff of County of Santa Clara ("County") filed its Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment or for Clarification (Motion, Dkt. #30). No opposition was filed. The trustee/plaintiff ("Trustee") filed a Stipulation to Declaratory Determination signed by defendant Kenneth Conetto ("Conetto") on May 6, 2019 (Stipulation, Dkt. #43). The matter was called as scheduled on May 13, 2019, the Hon. Gary Spraker presiding. Phillip K. Wang, Esq. of Rimon PC, and Louis M. Bubala III, Esq., of Kaempfer Crowell appeared on behalf of the County. Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq., of Hartman and Hartman appeared on behalf of the Trustee.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file, being familiar with and taken judicial notice of the matters in the bankruptcy case, and considering the argument presented at the hearing and based on the record in this case, the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth and stated on the record at the hearing pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 9014 and 7052, and good

This adversary proceeding concerns the rights to what is known as the "Santa Clara Emerald," currently in possession of the County. The Court finds that any error in the entry of default judgment in this adversary proceeding was harmless because (1) in the Stipulation filed by the Trustee, Conetto claims no interest in the Santa Clara Emerald, and Conetto agrees to entry of a declaratory determination that the bankruptcy estates of the jointly administered cases of Anthony and Wendi Thomas and AT Emerald, LLC, have exclusive title to the Santa Clara Emerald held by the County; and (2) the County claims no interest in the Santa Clara Emerald.

27

28

ADV. PROC. No. 17-05005-gs

1	Th	e Court further finds that the bankruptcy estates of the jointly administered cases of			
2	Anthony and Wendi Thomas and AT Emerald, LLC, have exclusive title to the Santa Clara				
3	Emerald c	urrently in possession of the County.			
4	Fo	r all the foregoing reasons, the Court ORDERS that the County's Motion is DENIED.			
5	Th	e Court also ORDERS the following instructions for turnover of the Santa Clara			
6	Emerald to	the Trustee for the bankruptcy estates of the jointly administered cases of Anthony and			
7	Wendi Thomas and AT Emerald, LLC:				
8	1.	The County and Trustee shall coordinate a mutually agreeable date and time for the			
9		Trustee, or an authorized representative, to take physical possession of the Santa Clara			
0		Emerald.			
1	2.	The Trustee shall obtain physical possession of the Santa Clara Emerald from the			
2		County where it is currently stored or as otherwise designated by the County.			
3	3.	The Trustee shall present identification documents as required by the County and shall			
4		sign such documentation as required by the County to take physical possession of the			
5		Santa Clara Emerald.			
6	4.	All risk of loss of the Santa Clara Emerald shall pass to the Trustee upon Trustee taking			
7		physical possession of the Santa Clara Emerald.			
8	Th	e Court further ORDERS that before submitting this order to the Court for issuance,			
9	the County	y shall serve a copy of this proposed order on Conetto or his attorney, Dawna J. Cilluffo,			
20	Esq. (via e	e-mail to dawna@dclawcorp.com), and shall provide defendant Conetto with three			
21	business d	ays to object to the proposed order in writing to the County and an additional two			
22	business d	ays, if so desired, to file an objection with the Court as provided for under Local Rule			
23	9021(b).				
24	IT	IS SO ORDERED.			
25	Prepared b	by: KAEMPFER CROWELL			
26	/s/ Louis	M. Bubala III			
27					
28	May 17, 2	019			
		2 ADV. Proc. No. 17-05005-gs			

1	Local Rule 9021 Certification				
2	In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order				
3	accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):				
4	The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).				
5	No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.				
6	X I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or				
7	disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:				
8	Jeff Hartman, Plaintiff's Counsel Via E-mail, 5/17/19 Approved/Disapproved/No Response				
10	Kenneth Conetto, Defendant Via Overnight 5/28/19 Approved/Disapproved/No Response				
11	(FedEx Delivery 5/29/19) to 3637 Snell Avenue, Space 51				
12	San Jose, CA 95136-1316				
13	Kenneth Conetto, via his counsel Via E-mail, 5/28/19 Approved/Disapproved/No Response Dawna J. Cilluffo, Esq. dawna@dclawcorp.com				
14					
15 16	I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.				
17	Submitted by:				
18	KAEMPFER CROWELL				
19					
20	/s/ Louis M. Bubala III Louis M. Bubala III, Esq.,				
21	Counsel for Sheriff of County of Santa Clara June 5, 2019				
22	###				
23					
24					
25					
26					
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>					
20	2 Adv. Proc. No. 17-05005-gs				
	Order Re Motion to Set Aside Default				